

Table VIII-3-b: Households Influenced by Advisories of Poor Air Quality for Vital Signs CMAs 2005, per cent

	Households aware of an advisory of poor air quality (%) ¹	Households who did not change routine because of an air quality advisory (%) ²
Canada	32	61
New Brunswick	14	59
Saint John	32	67
Fredericton	n.a	n.a
Quebec	25	69
Montreal	34	68
Ontario	56	56
Ottawa	59	55
Sudbury	47	57
Toronto	59	58
Guelph	n.a	n.a
Kitchener	69	51
London	69	55
Oakville	n.a	n.a
Saskatchewan	5	71
Saskatoon	F	F
Alberta	9	73
Calgary	11	78
Lethbridge	n.a	n.a
Medicine Hat	n.a	n.a
Red deer	n.a	n.a
British Columbia	21	77
Vancouver	22	76
Victoria	F	F

Source: Statistics Canada. Households and the Environment, 2006.

<http://www.statcan.ca/english/freepub/11-526-XIE/11-526-XIE2007001.pdf>

1. Households that were aware of an advisory of poor air quality in their area. Because these can be localized events, only a portion of a province's population may be in an area subject to an alert.

2. Percentage of households that were aware of a poor air quality advisory in their area and that did not change their routine or behaviour as a result of the advisory.

F: means data not reliable to be published.

Note: According to the survey: an advisory of poor air quality does not necessarily imply there was an official air quality advisory for the area.